



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Table with 5 columns: APPLICATION NO., FILING DATE, FIRST NAMED INVENTOR, ATTORNEY DOCKET NO., CONFIRMATION NO.
Row 1: 09/926,218, 01/28/2002, Arne Holmgren, P21480, 8453
Row 2: 7055, 7590, 08/11/2009, GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C., 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE, RESTON, VA 20191
Row 3: EXAMINER, KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR
Row 4: ART UNIT, PAPER NUMBER, 1621
Row 5: NOTIFICATION DATE, DELIVERY MODE, 08/11/2009, ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com
pto@gbpatent.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/926,218	Applicant(s) HOLMGREN ET AL.	
	Examiner SUDHAKAR KATAKAM	Art Unit 1621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) SUDHAKAR KATAKAM. (3) Arnold Turk.
(2) Daniel Sullivan. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 August 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative argued that insulin in the assay affects the results and is included in the assay apparently because Engman was experimenting with ebselen as an inhibitor. The examiner and attorney discussed the possible amendments to the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sudhakar Katakam/
Examiner, Art Unit 1621